

Bruxelles, le 7 novembre 2008

CONFIDENTIEL


S.E. Monsieur Pierre SELLAL
Place de Louvain, 14
1000 Bruxelles

Monsieur l'Ambassadeur,


En réponse à votre lettre du 6 novembre 2008 sur le suivi de la réunion informelle des Ministres des Finances de l'Union européenne et des Ministres des Finances de l'Association européenne de libre échange, nous vous prions de trouver en annexe à la présente lettre l'avis des experts désignés par le Directeur général du Service juridique du Conseil de l'Union européenne, le Directeur général du Service juridique de la Commission européenne, le Président de la Banque centrale européenne et le Président de l'autorité de surveillance de l'AELE.

L'Association européenne de libre échange n'a pas participé à la préparation de cet avis.


Nous vous prions d'agréer, Monsieur l'Ambassadeur, l'assurance de notre très haute considération.



Tim Middleton



Patrick Hetsch



Katja Würtz



Per Andreas Björgán

C.O N F I D E N T I A L

Opinion

on the obligations of Iceland under the Deposit Guarantee Directive 94/19/EC

1. The Finance Ministers of the EU Member States and the EFTA States have asked the Director General of the Legal Service of the Council of the European Union, the Director General of the Legal Service of the European Commission, the President of the European Central Bank, the Secretary General of the European Free Trade Association and the President of the EFTA Surveillance Authority to designate one person each to give a legal opinion on the following question:

"L'Islande est-elle juridiquement liée par l'acquis communautaire en matière de garantie de dépôts? Plus particulièrement, la directive communautaire sur les systèmes de garantie de dépôts est-elle applicable à l'Islande et quelle est la portée des obligations qu'elle comporte?"

This opinion is limited to addressing this specific question. It is given in the personal capacity of the nominees and does not commit their respective appointing authorities. The European Free Trade Association did not participate in the preparation of this opinion.

2. Directive 94/19/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on deposit-guarantee schemes¹ creates harmonised requirements in relation to guarantees of deposits in credit institutions. By Decision of the European Economic Area Joint Committee No 18/94 amending Annex IX (Financial Services) to the EEA Agreement², the Directive was incorporated into the Agreement on the European Economic Area. The effect of such

¹ OJ L135, 31.5.94, p.5, as amended by Directive 2005/1/EC (OJ L79, 24.3.2005, p. 9).

² OJ L325, 17.12.94, p. 70. See also Decision 119/2005 (OJ L339, 22.12.2005, p. 24) in respect of Directive 2005/1/EC.

C.O N F I D E N T I A L

incorporation is that, by virtue of the EEA Agreement, the EEA EFTA States are bound by the rules of the Directive.

3. The Directive sets an obligation to pay depositors at least 20.000 EUR when deposits become unavailable, i.e. when the relevant national authority has determined that the credit institution concerned is unable to repay the deposits. The Directive does not preclude provisions which offer a higher or more comprehensive cover for deposits, provided that this cover is applied to aggregate deposits, irrespective of the location within the EEA.

4. The deposit-guarantee scheme of the State in which the credit institution is authorised is responsible for the compensation of depositors in domestic branches as well as in branches in other EEA States. Hence the Icelandic deposit-guarantee scheme has to cover depositors from the other EEA States, regardless of whether the accounts are held with Icelandic branches or branches in other EEA States.

5. The 24th recital of the preamble to the Directive does not exonerate Iceland from the consequences of any failure to implement the Directive properly. The Directive does not make an exception for times of financial distress.

6. Consequently, Iceland has to make sure that its deposit-guarantee scheme has adequate means and is in a position to indemnify depositors.

7. The Icelandic Financial Supervisor declared in early October 2008 that the Landsbanki, Kaupthing and Glitnir banks were unable to repay certain deposits. The scheme must be in a position to pay duly verified claims within three months, subject to any extension

C.O N F I D E N T I A L

of time that may be granted. If Iceland's deposit-guarantee scheme is not in such a position, Iceland is in breach of its obligations under the Directive.

8. Article 3 of the EEA Agreement provides that "*The Contracting Parties shall take all appropriate measures, whether general or particular, to ensure fulfilment of the obligations arising under this Agreement. They shall abstain from any measure which could jeopardize the attainment of the objectives of this Agreement. Moreover they shall facilitate cooperation within the framework of this Agreement*".

9. It is for the EFTA Surveillance Authority to assess the compatibility of the Icelandic legislation with the EEA Agreement, on the basis of a complete set of facts and to consider whether to take action in relation to possible breaches of the EEA Agreement. The Agreement also provides for cooperation between the EFTA Surveillance Authority and the Commission.

10. In response to the specific question as regards Icelandic banks, Iceland has to ensure that the depositors are treated in compliance with the EEA Agreement, including the Deposit-Guarantee Directive, which requires that deposits are repaid up to at least 20.000 EUR for each depositor when deposits become unavailable, regardless of whether their deposits are held in Iceland or at a branch of an Icelandic bank in another EEA State. Iceland should take all appropriate measures to ensure respect of the provisions of the EEA Agreement.